

புதுச்சேரி மாகில அரசிதழ்

La Gazette de L'État de Poudouchéry The Gazette of Puducherry

அதிகாரம் பெற்ற வெளியீடு		Publiée par Autorité			Published by Authority	
எண்	புதுச்சேரி	செவ்வாய்க்கீழமை	2024 @6°	கூன் <i>மீ</i>	4 \(\rho\) 2024 (14 Jyaistha 1946) 2024	
No.	Poudouchéry	Mardi	4	Juin		
No. → 23	Puducherry	Tuesday	4th	June		

பொருளடக்கம்		SOMMAIRES		CONTENTS		
	பக்கம்		Page]	Page
தொழில் நீதிமன்றத் தீர்ப்புகள்	422	Sentence arbitral du Travail de Tribunal.	422	Award of the Labour Court		422
அரசு அறிவிக்கைகள்	428	Notifications du Gouvernement	428	Government Notifications		428
ஒப்ப அறிவிப்புகள்	428	Avis d'appel d'offres	428	Tender Notices		428
ஆபத்தான நிறுவனங்கள்	431	Etablissements dangereux	431	Dangerous Establishments		431
சாற்றறிக்கைகள்	432	Annonces .	. 432	Announcements		432

GOVERNMENT OF PUDUCHERRY LABOUR DEPARTMENT

(G.O. Rt. No. 51/AIL/Lab./S/2024, Puducherry, dated 23rd April 2024)

NOTIFICATION

Whereas, an Award in I.D. (T) No. 13/2023, dated 31-01-2024 of the Industrial Tribunal, Puducherry, in respect of the industrial dispute between the management of M/s. Bahadur Security Force, Puducherry and Thiruvalargal M. Sengeni and K. Durai, over payment of pending wage increase, bonus and other benefits has been received:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), read with the Notification issued in Labour Department's G.O. Ms. No. 20/9/Lab./L, dated 23-05-1991, it is hereby directed by the Secretary to Government (Labour) that the said Award shall be published in the Official Gazette, Puducherry.

(By order)

S. SANDIRAKUMARAN,
Under Secretary to Government (Labour).

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT AT PUDUCHERRY

Present: Tmt. G.T. Ambika, m.L., pgdclcf., Presiding Officer.

Wednesday, the 31st day of January, 2024

I.D. (T) No. 13/2023 CNR. No. PYPY06-000056-2023

President/Secretary,
All India United Trade Union Centre
(AIUTUC), Puducherry. . . Petitioner

Versus

M/s. Bahadur Security Force,
Represented by Partners T. Lakshmi
and A. Ravi, Puducherry. . . . Respondent

This Industrial Dispute coming on 25-01-2024 before me for final hearing in the presence of Thiruvalargal S. Sivakumar, Secretary of Petitioner Union, Respondent remained *ex parte* and after hearing the Petitioner side and perusing the case records, this Court delivered the following:

AWARD

This Industrial Dispute arises out of the reference made by the Government of Puducherry *vide* G.O. Rt. No. 48/Lab./AIL/T/2023, dated 30-03-2023 of the Labour Department, Puducherry, to resolve the following dispute between the Petitioner and the Respondent, *viz*.

- (a) Whether the dispute raised by the Petitioner All India United Trade Union against the management of M/s. Bahadur Security Force, Puducherry, over payment of pending wage increase, bonus and other benefits to Thiruvalargal Sengeni and K. Durai from the year 01-01-2006 to 21-03-2018 (12 years of service) and 01-01-2006 to 02-03-2019 (13 years of service) amounting to ₹ 1,20,000 and ₹ 1,30,000 respectively, totalling ₹ 2,50,000 along with 12% interest is legal and justified? If justified, what relief they are entitled to?
- (b) To compute the relief if any, awarded in terms of money if, it can be so computed?
- 2. The averments set forth in the petition is as follows:

That in the respondent establishment there are more than 150 workmen, but, the said establishment did not provide proper allowances and benefits as adumbrated under Labour laws to the workers and in the said establishment one Thiru M. Sengeni and Thiru K. Durai joined on 01-01-2006 and worked as security, and later resigned the job on 21-03-2018 and 02-03-2019 respectively. Thiru M. Sengeni and Thiru K. Durai should have been not provided with bonus @ ₹8,000 per year from the date of joining till the date of resignation nearly for 12 and 13 years respectively, which comes to ₹ 96,000 and ₹ 1,04,000 respectively, with 12% interest and also with salary increment @ ₹2,000 per year for 12 and 13 years respectively, which comes to ₹24,000 and ₹26,000 respectively, with 12% interest, totalling ₹ 1,20,000 and ₹1,30,000 respectively, but, the respondent establishment failed to provide necessary benefits and allowances to the said workmen and their attempt to settle the issues before Labour Officer, Conciliation also ended in failure and therefore, the present dispute has been raised.

3. Notice served to both the Petitioner and Respondent. The Secretary of the Petitioner's Union appeared and filed Claim Statement but, the Respondent inspite of receipt of notice has remained absent and hence, the Respondent Management was set *ex parte* on 21-08-2023.

4. Point for determination:

Whether the Petitioner Workmen are entitled for the relief as prayed in the claim petition?

5. On Point:

Respondent remained *ex parte* and the Petitioner filed Proof Affidavit and examined himself as P.W.1 and Exs.P1 to P8 were marked.

6. On the point:

The contention of the petitioner Union is that in the respondent establishment there are more than 150 workmen but, the said establishment did not provide proper allowances and benefits as adumbrated under Labour Laws to the workers and in the said establishment one Thiru M. Sengeni and Thiru K. Durai joined on 01-01-2006 and worked as security and later resigned the job on 21-03-2018 and 02-03-2019 respectively, but, the respondent establishment failed to provide necessary benefits and allowances to the said workmen and their attempt to settle the issues before Labour Officer, Conciliation also ended in failure and therefore, the present dispute has been raised.

- 7. In this case, the respondent inspite of receipt of notice has remained $ex\ parte$. This Court on perusal of evidence of P.W.1 finds that it is the case of the petitioner that the petitioner workmen are entitled for bonus at ₹8,000 per year and ₹2,000 towards yearly increment and therefore, as the workman Sengeni worked for the period from 01-01-2006 to 21-03-2018 and workman K. Durai worked for the period from 01-01-2006 to 02-03-2019 they are entitled for the bonus arrears for the said period which totals at ₹96,000 and ₹1,04,000 and increment arrears at ₹24,000 and ₹26,000 respectively.
- 8. This Court on perusal of evidence of P.W.1 and exhibits relied by the petitioner holds that the petitioner has proved the claim and further, the evidence of P.W.1 remains unchallenged and unrebutted by the respondent. Hence, this Court holds that the petitioner workmen are entitled for the bonus arrears and wage increment arrears as claimed in the petition. Similarly, as the respondent establishment has failed to pay the claimed amount in time, this Court holds that the petitioner is entitled to claim the said amount with interest at the rate of 9% p.a. Thus, the dispute raised by the petitioner is held to be justified.

In the result, this industrial dispute petition is allowed with modification and the respondent management is directed to pay bonus and wage increment arrears for the period from 01-01-2006 to 21-03-2018 at ₹ 96,000 and ₹ 24,000 respectively, to workman Thiru M. Sengeni and to pay bonus and wage increment arrears for the period from 01-01-2006 to 02-03-2019 at ₹ 1,04,000 and ₹ 26,000 respectively, to workman Thiru K. Durai with interest at 9% p.a. There is no order as to costs.

Partly typed by the Stenographer, partly typed by me in my laptop, corrected and pronounced by me in open Court on this 31st day of January, 2024.

G.T. AMBIKA,

Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal-*cum*-Labour Court, Puducherry.

List of petitioner's witness:

P.W.1 — 13-12-2023 Thiru S. Sivakumaran

List of petitioner's exhibits:

Ex.P1 — 23-12-2021 Photocopy of the Form-L

Ex.P2 — 20-07-2020 Photocopy of the Letter from the Registrar of companies

(7 pages).

Ex.P3 — 25-04-2022 Photocopy of the Letter to Labour Officer, Conciliation

by petitioner Union.

Ex.P4 — 04-07-2022 Photocopy of the letter to Labour Officer, Conciliation

by petitioner Union.

Ex.P5 — 30-08-2022 Photocopy of the letter to Labour Officer, Conciliation

by petitioner Union.

Ex.P6 — 17-11-2022 Photocopy of the letter to

Labour Officer, Conciliation by petitioner Union.

Ex.P7 — 30-01-2023 Photocopy of the Failure Report.

Ex.P8 — 30-03-2023 Photocopy of the Notification.

List of respondent's witnesses: Nil List of respondent's exhibits: Nil

G.T. AMBIKA,

Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal-*cum*-Labour Court, Puducherry.

GOVERNMENT OF PUDUCHERRY LABOUR DEPARTMENT

(G.O. Rt. No. 52/AIL/Lab./T/2024, Puducherry, dated 23rd April 2024)

NOTIFICATION

Whereas, an Award in I.D. (L) No. 26/2022, dated 18-01-2024 of the Labour Court, Puducherry, in respect of the industrial dispute between the management of M/s. Siva Valli Vilas Jewellers, Bharathi Street, Puducherry and Thiru G. Murugan, Saram, Puducherry, over to reinstate the petitioner with his usual employment of appraiser with full back wages and all the benefits has been received;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), read with the Notification issued in Labour Department's G.O. Ms. No. 20/9/Lab./L, dated 23-05-1991, it is hereby directed by the Secretary to Government (Labour) that the said Award shall be published in the Official Gazette, Puducherry.

(By order)

S. SANDIRAKUMARAN,
Under Secretary to Government (Labour).

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT AT PUDUCHERRY

Present: Tmt. G.T. Ambika, m.L., pgdclcf., Presiding Officer.

Thursday, the 18th day of January, 2024

I.D. (L) No. 26/2022 CNR. No. PYPY06-000089-2022

Thiru G. Murugan,
S/o. Govindasamy,
Hindu aged 50 years,
Residing at No. 234, Naveena Garden,
Kuyavarpalayam, Saram,
Puducherry. . . . Petitioner

Versus

The Branch Manager,
Siva Valli Vilas Jewellers,
Having business at No. 157,
Bharathi Street,
Puducherry. . . . Respondent

This Industrial Dispute coming on this day before me for hearing in the presence of Thiru J. Mayakrishnan, Counsel for the Petitioner, Thiruvalargal V. Govindaradjou, Counsel for the Respondent and upon hearing both sides and perusing the entire records and having stood over for consideration till this date, this Court delivered the following:

AWARD

This Petition filed under section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to pass an award to direct the respondent to reinstate the petitioner with his usual employment of appraiser with full back wages and all the benefits and without any absence towards his service for the said refusal period of the employment and for the costs.

2. The averments in the claim petition filed by the petitioner is as follows:

The petitioner was appointed by the respondent concern as Appraiser on 07-07-2012 and on, and from the date of appointment he was serving at the said concern without any remark at all and towards his employment he was given Employee Code number as 11234 and he is also member of the Association under No. 731 and in the said Association he was acting for the past 15 years as one of the members. The respondent management to curtail the continuation of employment, has suddenly dismissed the petitioner on 18-10-2021 for the reasons as if, he valued the gold without any notice in written.

- (ii) In fact, the petitioner has not committed any fault or defect towards the employment, but, due to continuation of job for more than 10 years the management had suddenly and surprisingly dismissed the petitioner from the employment purposely. The act of the management is against the Labours Act and natural justice and therefore, the said management has to answer for the same. Right from the oral refusal of the petitioner from the employment the petitioner had approached the respondent and they has also assured to make arrangements for reinstatement, but, they are prolonging the same for the reasons best known to them.
- (iii) The petitioner issued legal notice to the respondent on 05-05-2022 and the same was acknowledged by the respondent, but they have not come forward to reinstate the petitioner, whereas issued reply, dated 24-06-2022 with false allegations and invented story and therefore, after issuance of said notice, the petitioner had also issued notice to

the Conciliation Officer, Labour Department, Puducherry, on 30-05-2022 but, there was no response, Hence, the petition.

3. The averments in the counter filed by the Respondent is as follows:

The respondent submits that the present petition filed by the Petitioner praying to reinstate him as an appraiser in the respondent's shop with full back wages with all benefits with continuity of service is liable to be dismissed in liminie. The Petitioner is not a workman as defined under section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 and further, the respondent's Jewellery Shop is not an industry as defined under section 2 of the Industrial Dispute Act. In the absence of such relationship the application filed by the Petitioner under section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act is not maintainable in Law. If, at all the Petitioner has got any grievance he has to approach the concerned authority appointed by the Government of Puducherry under Pondicherry Shops and Establishment Act and not by way of raising a dispute before this Court.

- (ii) The respondent submits that "Siva Valli Vilas Jewellers" is a company registered under the provisions of the Companies Act, 1956 and doing business like making, selling jewels and diamonds. The petitioner served only on a contract basis and not a regular employee, as alleged by the petitioner. On many occasions the petitioner has abruptly sent the customers by valuing their jewels at low costs, who are approaching the shop, for exchanging their old jewels with new ones. While receiving the old jewels the respondent has instructed the Petitioner to send those customers to the respondent for negotiation, however, the petitioner has failed to do the same for the reasons best known to him and because of the act of the petitioner, the respondent's business was much affected resulting loss of customers.
- (iii) On 17-10-2021 by the act of the petitioner failed to consider the request of the customer for enhancement of amount for his old jewels and further, the petitioner has also failed to inform the customer to approach the respondent for enhancement and due to the said act, the customer has left the shop abruptly. That after seeing the customer leaving the shop, the respondent questioned the act of the petitioner with regard to the customer who has left the shop in this regard, but, the petitioner has kept quiet and from the next day onwards the petitioner has not turned up for work. Therefore, the allegations made by the petitioner are incorrect, false and mischievous without any iota of truth. Hence, prays for dismissal of the claim petition.

- 4. Points for determination:
- 1. Whether the petitioner is a workman of respondent?
- 2. Whether the respondent shop is an Industry as defined under section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act?
- 3. Whether the petitioner was employed on contract basis?
- 4. Whether the dispute raised by petitioner over his non-employment is justified?
- 5. Whether the petitioner is entitled for the reliefs as claimed in the claim petition?
- 5. Mr. Murugan was examined as P.W.1 and Exs.P1 to P7 were marked. On the Respondent side Mr. Ganesan, Manager, of the Respondent Jewellery Shop was examined as R.W.1 and Exs. R1 to R5 were marked through him.

6. On points 1 to 5:

The contention of the petitioner is that he was appointed in the respondent shop as appraiser on 07-07-2012 and from the date of appointment he was serving without any remarks and further, he is also one of the member of the association for past 15 years and while so, the respondent has suddenly dismissed the petitioner on 18-10-2021 for the reason that the valuation of the gold made by the petitioner was improper and therefore, the petitioner has issued legal notice to the respondent on 05-05-2022 to provide job to the petitioner, but, the respondent on receipt of the said legal notice failed to provide job and issued reply notice, dated 24-06-2022 with false allegations and hence, the petitioner has raised the present industrial dispute as against the respondent.

7. Per contra, the contention of the respondent is that the petitioner is not a workman as defined under section 2(s) of Industrial Dispute Act and further, the respondent's Jewellery Shop is not an industry as defined under section 2(j) of Industrial Dispute Act and therefore, the present industrial dispute raised by the petitioner is not maintainable and if at all, the petitioner has any grievances, it is for the petitioner to approach the authority appointed by the Government of Puducherry under the Pondicherry Shops and Establishments Act. Further the contention of the respondent is that while the petitioner was working in the respondent shop the petitioner has abruptly sent a customer by valuing the jewels at low costs when the customer had approached for exchange of old jewels for new one and thereby the act of the petitioner has affected the respondent's business and further, on 17-10-2021 when a customer had approached for

enhancement of amount for old jewels, the petitioner has failed to inform the customer to approach the respondent and thereby, the customer was constrained to leave the shop abruptly and when the same was questioned by the respondent, the petitioner has kept quite and from the next day onwards the petitioner has not turned up for work and therefore, the contention of the petitioner that the respondent has dismissed the petitioner from service is false and incorrect one.

- 8. In this case, it is not in dispute that the petitioner was working in the respondent shop as appraiser, but, it is the specific case of the respondent that the petitioner is neither a workman as defined under section 2(s) of Industrial Disputes Act nor the respondent's shop is an Industry as defined under section 2(j) of Industrial Dispute Act and therefore, the very maintainability of industrial dispute as raised by the petitioner is unsustainable one. This Court, on perusal of records finds that the respondent is a Jewellery Shop and therefore, it becomes pertinent to determine whether the Jewellery shop would come within the ambit of Industry as defined under section 2(j) of Industrial Dispute Act.
- 9. At this juncture, it would be appropriate to extract the definition of Industry as defined under section 2(j) of Industrial Dispute Act for better appreciation.

Section 2(j): Industry: Industry means, any business, trade, undertaking, manufacture or calling of employers and includes any calling, service, employment, handicraft, or industrial occupation or avocation of workmen.

Therefore, as per section 2(j) of Industrial Dispute Act, this Court finds that an Industry is defined as any business, trade or undertaking, manufacturing or calling of employers and includes any calling, service, employment, handicraft or industrial occupation or avocation of workmen.

10. In the present case it is admitted fact that the respondent shop is doing the business of making and selling of jewels and diamonds and the same has been admitted by R.W.1 in his chief examination affidavit where it is stated as follows:

"I submit that Siva Valli Vilas Jewellery is company registered under the provision of the Company Act, 1956 and doing business like making, selling jewels and diamonds".

Therefore, from the evidence of R.W.1 it can be inferred that the respondent shop deals with making and selling of gold jewels and diamonds and therefore, the respondent shop is found to have been carrying the business and trade. Therefore, in the said context the respondent shop is found to fall within the ambit of section 2(j) of Industrial Dispute Act.

11. The next point that arises for consideration is whether the petitioner is a workman under the respondent. The term workman is defined under section 2(s) of Industrial Disputes Act which reads as follows:

Section 2(s): workman: Workman means, any person (including an apprentice) employed in any industry to do any manual, unskilled, technical, operational, clerical or supervisory work for hire or reward, whether the terms of employment be express or implies, and for the purpose of any proceedings under this Act in relation to an industrial dispute, includes any such person who has been dismissed, discharged or retrenched in connection with, or as a consequence of, that dispute, or whose dismissal, discharge or retrenchment has led to that dispute, but, does not include any such person—

- (i) who is subject to the Air Force Act, 1950 (45 of 1950), or the Army Act, 1950 (46 of 1950), or the Navy Act, 1957, or the Army Act 1950 (46 of 1950), or Navy Act, 1957; or
- (ii) who is employed in the Police service or as an Officer or other employee of a prison; or
- (iii) who is employed mainly in a managerial or administrative capacity; or
- (iv) who, being employed in a supervisory capacity, draws wages exceeding (ten thousand rupees) per mensem or exercises, either by the nature of the duties attached to the Office or by reason of the powers vested in him, functions mainly of a managerial nature.
- 12. Therefore, as per the definition of workman as adumbrated under section 2(s) of Industrial Dispute Act, a workman means any person employed in any industry to do any manual, unskilled, skilled, technical, operational, clerical or supervisory work for hire or reward and does not included who is employed mainly in a managerial or administrative capacity. In this case, it is a admitted fact that the petitioner was working as an appraiser and the respondent also in the evidence as well as in the counter has stated that the petitioner worked as an appraiser. Hence, as the petitioner did not work in the managerial or administrative capacity, this Court holds that the petitioner fits under the definition of workman as defined under section 2(s) of Industrial Dispute Act.
- 13. The other contention of the respondent is that the petitioner was employed on contract basis but, to prove the same the respondent has not produced any documents which are required for engaging a person on contractual basis. Further more, the nature of the work

done by the petitioner is appraiser which is of perennial in nature and also of core activity of the establishment. As per the provisions of Contract Labour (Regulation and Abolition) Act, 1970, a workman cannot be employed to do any core activity of an establishment and also work of perennial in nature. In this case the nature of work done by the petitioner being an appraiser, this Court finds that the work of the petitioner is of core activity of the establishment which is very much required for running of day to day business of the respondent's shop and further, perennial one. Hence, in the said context, the contention of the respondent that the petitioner was appointed on contractual basis and not on a regular employee is found to be untenable and unsustainable one.

14. Now coming to the contention of the respondent that the petitioner has undervalued the old jewels of the customers and thereby, the act of the petitioner affected the business of the respondent and further, on 17-10-2021, the petitioner did not consider the request of the customer to enhance the amount for the old jewels and thereby, the customer has left the shop and when the same was questioned by the respondent the petitioner has kept quite and from the next day onwards the petitioner has not turned up is concerned, this Court finds that the same is unacceptable and unproved one. Had such occurrence had taken place then the respondent shop being registered under Companies Act is bound to question the employee by way of written notice or by initiating disciplinary action against the petitioner. Here, in this case, no such action is found to have been taken by the respondent. There is nothing on record to show that the petitioner himself as abandoned the job and the respondent has issued any notice calling for explanation for such conduct of the petitioner. Hence, this Court finds that the contention raised by the respondent is unsustainable one and the contention of the petitioner that the respondent failed to provide job to the petitioner without any basis is found to be acceptable one and thereby, the industrial dispute raised by the petitioner is found to be justifiable one.

In the result the industrial dispute is allowed and the respondent is directed to reinstate the petitioner with full back wages and all the benefits thereon. There is no order as to costs.

Dictated to the Stenographer, transcribed by her, corrected and pronounced by me in open Court on this 18th day of January, 2024.

G.T. Ambika,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal-cumLabour Court, Puducherry.

List of petitioner's witness:

P.W.1 — 09-01-2023 Thiru G. Murugan

List of petitioner's side exhibits:

Ex.P1 — 08-04-2022 Copy of the Aadhaar Card of the petitioner.

Ex.P2 — 07-07-2022 Copy of the Identity Card of the petitioner towards his employment.

Ex.P3 — 05-05-2022 Copy of the Legal notice by the petitioner.

Ex.P4 — 30-05-2022 Copy of the Legal notice by the petitioner to the Labour Department, Puducherry.

Ex.P5 — 24-06-2022 Copy of the Reply by the respondent.

Ex.P6 — 01-06-2022 Original Acknowledgment Card.

Ex.P7 — Copy of the Bank Passbook of G. Murugan.

List of respondent's witness:

RW.1 — 13-10-2023 Thiru S. Ganesan

List of respondent's side exhibits:

Ex.R1 — Original Document of the Authorization Letter.

Ex.R2 — Copy of the Memorandum of Association and Articles of Association of Siva Valli Vilas Jeweller Private Limited, Puducherry.

Ex.R3 — 21-04-2023 Copy of the Registration Certificate issued by Government of India.

Ex.P4 — 01-03-2021 Copy of the Trade Licence issued by Pondicherry Municipality.

Ex.P5 — 10-10-2023 Copy of the Computer printout of Petitioner's Attendance for the period April to October, 2021 along with Certificate under section 65 B of Evidence Act. (May 2021 shop closed due to COVID-19).

G.T. Ambika, Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal-cumLabour Court, Puducherry.

GOVERNMENT OF PUDUCHERRY CHIEF SECRETARIAT (HIGHER AND TECHNICAL EDUCATION)

(G.O. Ms. No. 15, Puducherry, dated 08th May 2024)

NOTIFICATION

On attaining the age of superannuation, Dr. P. Madhavee Latha, Associate Professor of Zoology, Dr. SRK Government Arts College, Yanam, shall retire on the afternoon of 31-05-2024.

(By order)

V. SOUMYA.

Under Secretary to Government (Higher and Technical Education).

GOVERNMENT OF PUDUCHERRY CHIEF SECRETARIAT (WORKS)

(G.O. Ms. No. 14, Puducherry, dated 16th May 2024)

NOTIFICATION

Thiru K. Soundararajan, Assistant Engineer, Public Health Division, Public Works Department, Puducherry, on attaining the age of superannuation, stood retired on the afternoon of 30-09-2022.

(By order)

P. EJOUMALE,

Under Secretary to Government (Works).

GOVERNMENT OF PUDUCHERRY HEALTH SECRETARIAT

(G.O. Ms. No. 19, Puducherry, dated 20th May 2024)

NOTIFICATION

On attaining the age of superannuation, the following Assistant Nursing Superintendents, Indira Gandhi Government General Hospital and Postgraduate Institute, Puducherry, are admitted into retirement with effect from the dates noted against them.

Sl. No.	Name of the Assistant Nursing Superintendent	Date of superannuation		
(1)	(2)	(3)		
1 Ms. L. Jane Christian Stella Mary 31-05-2024 (A				
2 N	31-05-2024 (AN)			

(By order)

S. MURUGESAN,

Under Secretary to Government (Health).

GOVERNMENT OF PUDUCHERRY HEALTH SECRETARIAT

(G.O. Ms. No. 22, Puducherry, dated 22nd May 2024)

NOTIFICATION

On attaining the age of superannuation of 65 years, Dr. R. Amudhavalli, Consultant, Indira Gandhi Government General Hospital and Postgraduate Institute, Puducherry, is admitted into retirement with effect from the afternoon of 30-06-2024.

(By order)

S. Murugesan,
Under Secretary to Government
(Health).

GOVERNMENT OF PUDUCHERRY CHIEF SECRETARIAT (HOUSING)

(G.O. Ms. No. 4/2024-Hg., Puducherry, dated 23rd May 2024)

NOTIFICATION

On attaining the age of superannuation, Thiru V. Vidjea Nehru, Junior Town Planner, Town and Country Planning Department, Puducherry, shall retire from service on the afternoon of 30-06-2024.

(By order)

P. EJOUMALE, Under Secretary to Government (Housing).

GOVERNMENT OF PUDUCHERRY DEPARTMENT OF WOMEN AND CHILD DEVELOPMENT

Puducherry, dated 24th May 2024.

TENDER-CUM-PUBLIC AUCTION NOTICE

Sealed tenders are invited for the sale of old unserviceable articles like aluminium vessels, stainless steel, wooden items, brass and iron items, etc., of various Anganwadi Centres of Zone III (27 Centres) under the control of ICDS Project V, Department of Women and Child Development, Mudaliarpet, Puducherry, as detailed in the Annexure, will be disposed off in 'as-is-where-is' condition.

C1

Terms and Conditions

- 1. The tenders in a cover should be addressed to the Director, Department of Women and Child Development, Puducherry, duly sealed and superscripted on the envelope as "Tender for the purchase of old unserviceable furniture and utensils items". The tenders should reach the undersigned on or before 27-06-2024 at 2.30 p.m. The tenders received after the due date and time will be rejected.
- 2. All the intending tenderers may inspect the items kept in the Coundanpalayam Anganwadi Centre (No. 12, Mariamman Koil Street, Coundanpalayam, Puducherry) with the permission of the concerned Officer on 25-06-2024 and 26-06-2024 from 10.00 a.m. to 4.00 p.m.
- 3. The tender process will be conducted in the Training Hall (III floor) of the Department of Women and Child Development, New Saram, (Opposite to LIC Office), Puducherry on 27-06-2024 at 3.00 p.m.
- 4. The tenders will be opened on 27-06-2024 at 3.00 p.m. in the presence of tenderers who are present at the time of opening. If, the last day happens to be a public holiday, the same will be opened on the next working day.
- 5. If, the highest tender amount offered in the Tender Form is found to be not acceptable by the undersigned, then the public auction will be conducted on the spot, keeping the highest tender amount as the minimum price for the bid of auction.
- 6. The intending tenderers should deposit an amount of ₹ 5,000 (Rupees five thousand only) in cash as earnest money deposit at the time of submitting the Tender Form. The earnest money deposit amount for unsuccessful tenderers/bidders will be returned immediately after the tender/bid process is over/finalized. The tenders without earnest money deposit will be rejected.
- 7. The tenderers/bidders should submit the following self-attested photocopies, (i) A copy of valid GSTIN (ii) A copy of a PAN Card and (iii) A copy of an Aadhaar Card. If, any false representation is found during the tender/auction process, the tender submitted by him/her/them will be cancelled and the earnest money deposit will be forfeited.
- 8. If any/all participants misbehaves during the tender/auction process, the tenderer(s)/bidder(s) will be removed from the place, also their tender/bid will be rejected and earnest money deposit will be forfeited.

- 9. The successful tenderer/bidder should remit the entire amount to the Cashier, Department of Women and Child Development, Puducherry, immediately after finalization of the tender/bid. The applicable rate of GST will be charged on the tender amount as per the rules.
- 10. The entire unserviceable materials should be removed within 24 hours after finalization of the tender/ auction at his/her own risk and cost. No claim on the quantity and quality of the articles will be entertained once the tender/auction is finalized. This Office will not be held responsible for the items, once the tender/bid is finalized. The earnest money deposit amount of successful tenderer/bidder will be released after clearing the unserviceable materials from the Coundanpalayam Anganwadi Centre.
- 11. The undersigned reserves the right to accept/ reject any or all the tenders/bids without assigning any reasons thereof, in the public interest.
- 12. In case of any dispute, the decision of the undersigned will be the final.

P. MUTHU MEENA, Director.

Ougntity

ANNEXURE

Darticulars of stores

SI.	Particulars of stores	Quantity
No. (1)	(2)	(3)
		No./Nos.
1	Aluminum Materials	
1	Idly pot	72
2	Jally karandi	21
3	Deksha with lid	52
4	Dabara with lid	152
5	Oil can	48
6	Cooker	24
7	Measuring cup and mug	11
8	Karandi	1
9	Muram	1
]	Plastic Materials	
10	Bucket	51
11	Kudam	23
12	Armed chair	7
13	Baby chair	4
14	Water Jug	1

(1)	(2)	(3)
		No./Nos.
,	Wooden Materials	
15	Thuddupu	21
16	Wooden table	6
17	Chair	24
18	Plate stand	15
19	Wooden stool	10
20	Wooden almirah	16
21	Veg scapper	5
22	Black board and stand	15
]	Brass Materials	
23	Bell	18
24	Lock and key	18
25	Water drum with lid	1
;	Stainless Steel	
26	Water drum with lid	49
27	Plate	1008
28	Tumbler	1008
29	Measuring cup and padi	22
30	Arivalmanai	27
31	Container with lid	3
32	Veg cutter	3
33	Jally karandy	18
34	Coconut scapper	1
35	Kuzhi karandi	14
36	Deksha with lid	2
37	Dabara with lid	1
38	Muram	5
39		1
40	Nail cutter	27
41	Clock	20
42	Drum with lid	133
43 44	Vanal Arivalmanai	24 11
45	Bucket	
43 46	Stool	26 9
4 0	Almirah	8
48	Steel armed chair	9
49	Steel table	1
50	Bar weight machine	6
51	Folding chair	32
52	Name board	68
53	Weight machine round	40
54	Folding table	7
55	Coconut scrapper	19
56	Trunk box	3
57	Provision weight machine	3

(1)	(2)	(3)
		No./Nos.
58	Lock and key	15
59	Spring weight machine	15
60	Veg cutter	18
61	Salladai	15
62	Solar and ord. stove	4
63	Iron blow pipe	1
64	Ceiling fan	3
	Total	3, 296

புதுச்சேரி அரசு

எமுதுபொருள் மற்றும் அச்சுத்துறை அரசு கீளை அச்சகம், காரைக்கால்

ஒப்பந்தப்புள்ளி அறிவிப்பு

காரைக்கால் மாவட்டம், கோட்டுச்சேரியில் இயங்கிவரும் அரசு கீளை அச்சகத்தில் சேர்ந்துவரும் துண்டு கழிவுத்தாள்கள் மற்றும் அட்டைகளை எதிர்வரும் O1-O7-2O24 முதல் 3O-O6-2O25 வரை ஓராண்டு காலத்திற்கு வாங்குவதற்கான மூடி முத்திரையிடப்பட்ட ஒப்பந்தப்புள்ளிகள் வரவேற்கப்படுகின்றன.

- 2. அரக்கு முத்திரையிடப்பட்ட உறையின் மீது 'கழிவுத்தாள்கள்களை வாங்குவதற்கான ஒப்பந்தப்புள்ளி' எனக் குறிப்பிட்டு, அதை கீழே கையொப்பமிட்டவரின் அலுவலகத்திற்கு எதிர்வரும் 20-06-2024 (வியாழக்கீழமை) அன்று மாலை 3.30 மணிக்குள் வந்து சேரும்படி அனுப்பி வைக்கப்பட வேண்டும். அவ்வாறு அனுப்பிப் பெறப்பட்ட ஒப்பந்தப்புள்ளிகள் அனைத்தும் அன்றைய தினமே மாலை 4.00 மணிக்கு வருகை தந்திருக்கும் ஒப்பந்தப்புள்ளிதாரர்கள் அல்லது அவரால் நியமிக்கப்பட்ட பிரதிநிதிகள் முன்னிலையில் பிரிக்கப்படும். ஒப்பந்த விற்பனை தினம் அரசு விடுமுறை நாளாக இருப்பின் அதற்கு அடுத்த அலுவலக தினத்தன்று ஒப்பந்தப்புள்ளிகள் மேற்குறிப்பிடப்பட்ட நேரம் வரை பெறப்பட்டு திறக்கப்படும்.
- விருப்பமுள்ள ஒப்பந்ததாரர்கள் அச்சகப் பண்டக சாலையில் உள்ள துண்டு கழிவுத்தாள்கள் மற்றும் அட்டைகளை அலுவலக நேரங்களில் நேரில் வந்து பார்வையிடலாம்.
- 4. ஒப்பந்த விற்பனையில் கலந்துகொள்ளும் ஒப்பந்ததாரர்கள் முன்வைப்புத் தொகையாக ₹ 1.000 (ரூபாய் ஓர் ஆயிரம் மட்டும்)-க்கான Banker Cheque in favour of the Deputy Director, Government Branch Press, Karaikal or Deposit at call-ரசீது அல்லது ரொக்கமாக ஒப்பந்தப்புள்ளியுடன் சேர்த்து செலுத்த வேண்டும். வெற்றிபெறாத ஒப்பந்தப்புள்ளிதாரர்களின் முன்வைப்புத் தொகை ஒப்பந்தபணிகள் முடிந்தவுடன் திருப்பித் தரப்படும்.
- 5. விலை ஒரு கீலோவிற்கு எவ்வளவு என்று விற்பனை வரி நீங்கலாக எண்ணாலும், எழுத்தாலும் குறிப்பிட வேண்டும். நடைமுறையில் உள்ள விற்பனை வரி பின்னர் வசூலிக்கப்படும். தேவை ஏற்படும் தருவாயில் நீர்ணயிக்கப்பட்ட அதீகபட்ச ஒப்பந்தப்புள்ளியிலிருந்து ஒப்பந்ததாரர் முன்னிலையில் பகீரங்க ஏலம் நடத்தப்படும்.

- 6. அதீகபட்சமான தொகை கேட்கும் ஒப்பந்ததாரர்/ ஏலதாரருக்கே ஒப்பந்தம்/ஏலம் அளிக்கப்படும். ஒப்பந்தம்/ஏலம் பெறப்பட்டோர் ₹ 10,000 (ரூபாய் பத்தாயிரம் மட்டும்) வைப்புத் தொகையாக செலுத்தி ஒப்பந்தம்/ஏலம் ஏற்படுத்தீக் கொள்ளவேண்டும்.
- 7. அங்கீகரிக்கப்பட்ட ஒப்பந்ததாரர்/ஏலதாரர் அச்சக கிடங்கில் உள்ள துண்டு கழிவுத்தாள்கள் மற்றும் அட்டைகளை எங்கு எந்த நிலையில் உள்ளதோ அவ்வாறே விற்பனை முடிந்த 24 மணி நேரத்திற்குள் தங்களது சொந்த செலவிலேயே எடைபோட்டு அதற்குண்டான தொகையை GST வரியுடன் அலுவலகத்தில் செலுத்திவிட்டு எடுத்துச்செல்ல வேண்டும். பின்னர் சேரும் கழிவுகளை ஏல/ஒப்பந்தக்காலம் முடியும் வரை ஒவ்வொரு மாத கடைசி வாரத்தில் அதற்குண்டான தொகையை வரியுடன் செலுத்திவிட்டு எடுத்துச் செல்லவேண்டும்.
- மேலே குறிப்பிடப்பட்ட தேதி மற்றும் நேரத்திற்குப் பின்னர் வரும் ஒப்பந்த/ஏல புள்ளிகள் யாவும் ஏற்றுக்கொள்ளப்படமாட்டாது.
- 9. எவ்வித காரணமும் கூறாமல் அனைத்து அல்லது ஏதேனும் ஓர் ஒப்பந்த/ஏல புள்ளியை நீராகரிக்க அல்லது அங்கீகரிக்க கீழே கையொப்பமிட்டுள்ளவருக்கு முழு உரிமையுண்டு. இதீல் ஏதேனும் உடன்பாடின்மை ஏற்பட்டால் கீழ்க்கையொப்பமிட்டவரின் முடிவே இறுதியானது.

காரைக்கால், நாள் : 27, மே 2024.

முதுநிலை கணக்கு அதிகாரி,

மாவட்ட ஆட்சியர் அலுவலகம் மற்றும் பொறுப்பு அதீகாரி.

மண்ணாழப்பட்டு கொம்யூன் பஞ்சாயத்து, புதுச்சேரி ஆபத்தான நிறுவனங்கள்

அறிவிப்பு

கீழ்குறிப்பிடப்பட்டுள்ள நபா் மண்ணாடிப்பட்டு கொம்யூன் பஞ்சாயத்து எல்லைக்குள் பின்வரும் தொழில் நிறுவனத்தை அமைத்துக்கொள்ள இக்கொம்யூன் பஞ்சாயத்தில் அனுமதி வேண்டுகிறாா்.

ഖനിത எண்		நிறுவனம் அமைய உள்ள இடத்தின் முகவரி	உத்தேசிக்கப்பட்டுள்ள நிறுவனத் தயாரிப்பு/ செய்முறை	தேவையான மின் திறன்	ஆட்களின் எண்ணிக்கை
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1	தீரு. P. முருகையன், பிளாட் எண் 24, மூன்றாவது குறுக்கு தெரு. PSC போங்க் நகர், முதலியார்பேட்டை, புதுச்சேரி-605 004.	M/s. AB கீரைன் ஸ்பிரிட்ஸ் பிரைவேட் லிமிடெட், மறு அளவை எண்கள் 192/1pt., 192/2, 192/3 மற்றும் 192/4, P.S. பாளையம், வாதானூர் வருவாய் கீராமம், புதுச்சேரி.	Blending and Bottling Unit தயாரித்தல்.	122 குதிரைத் திறன்	35 (பொது பணிமுறை).

1973-ஆம் ஆண்டு புதுச்சேரி கிராமம் மற்றும் கொம்யூன் பஞ்சாயத்துக்கள் சட்டத்தீன் மூலமாய் புதுச்சேரி ஒன்றியத்து ஆட்சிப்பரப்பில் அமுலாக்கப்பட்ட புதுச்சேரி கொம்யூன் பஞ்சாயத்துக்கள் (உரிமம் மற்றும் அனுமதி அளித்தல்) விதிகள், 1976, பிரிவு 11-ன்படி இத்தொழில் நிறுவனத்தை நிறுவுவதினால் உண்டாகும் ஆட்சேபனைகள் ஏதேனும் இருந்தால், அவற்றை இந்த அறிவிப்பு வெளியான தேதியிலிருந்து பத்து நாட்களுக்குள் மண்ணாடிப்பட்டு கொம்யூன் பஞ்சாயத்து ஆணையர் அவர்களுக்கு எழுத்து மூலமாய் தெரியப்படுத்தும்படி கேட்டுக்கொள்ளப்படுகிறது.

குறிப்பிட்ட காவைரையறைக்குள் பெறப்பட்ட ஆட்சேபனைகள் இக்கொம்யூன் பஞ்சாயத்தால் பரிசீலிக்கப்படும்.

வே. எழில்ராஜன், ஆணையர்.

MANNADIPET COMMUNE PANCHAYAT, PUDUCHERRY

Mannadipet, the 23rd May 2024.

Dangerous Establishments

NOTICE

The under mentioned person has requested for permission for setting up of new unit within the Mannadipet Commune Panchayat limits as detailed below:

S1. No. (1)	Name and address of the applicant (2)	Address of the industry site (3)	Industry proposed (4)	Power required (5)	No. of workers (6)
1	Thiru P. Murugaiyan, Plot No. 24, Third Cross Street, PSC Bank Nagar, Mudaliarpet, Puducherry-605 004.	M/s. AB Grain Spirits Private Limited, Re-survey Nos. 192/1pt., 192/2, 192/3 and 192/4, P.S. Palayam, Vadanur Revenue Village, Puducherry.	Manufacture/Activity of Blending and Bottling Unit.	122 H.P.	35 (General shift)

In conformity with rule 11 of the Puducherry Commune Panchayats (Grant of Licences and Permissions) Rules, 1976, promulgated in this Union territory by the Puducherry Village and Commune Panchayats Act, 1973, objections, if any, to the setting up of the abovesaid industry, are invited to reach the undersigned within ten days from the date of publication of this notice in the Official Gazette.

The objections so received within the specified period will be considered by this Commune Panchayat.

V. EJILERADJANE, Commissioner.

AFFIDAVIT

I, Isabella Mary (Aadhaar No. XXXX XXXX 0516) daughter of Aruldass and Mary Vijayarani, aged 27 years, Converted Muslim, residing at No. 21, Saveriyar Kovil Street, Narikkarambai, Kurumbagaram, Nedungadu Commune, Karaikal, do hereby solemnly and sincerely affirm, and state on oath as follows:

That I was a Christian by birth and my birth name is 'Ísabella Mary'. As I have converted myself from Christianity to Islamic religion, I had renounced my birth name 'Isabella Mary' and assumed to myself the Muslim name 'Sarah Fathima (சாரா ஃபாத்தமா)'. I hereby relinquish my birth name 'Isabella Mary' and I shall hereafter be known, called, identified and referred only as 'Sarah Fathima'.

Solemnly affirmed and signed before the Notary Public at Karaikal, on this 08th day of May 2024.

Ack No. 040

A. ISABELLA MARY.

AFFIDAVIT

I, Manikandan. S., son of Sambath, aged 41 years and residing at No. 17, Fourth Cross Street, Ganesh Nagar, Muthialpet, Puducherry-605 003, do hereby solemnly and sincerely affirm, and state on oath as follows:

That I am the deponent herein and I am well aware of the facts of the deposition.

1. I state that my name has been mentioned as 'Manikandan *alias* Sugumaran' in my Birth Certificate bearing Registration No. M/1982/05400, issued by Pondicherry Municipality, Puducherry.

- 2. I state that my name has been entered as 'Manikandan @ Sugumaran S' in my Matriculation Mark Sheet bearing Certificate S.No. B0082171, issued by the Secretary, Board of Matriculation Examination, Tamil Nadu.
- 3. I state that my name has been entered as 'Manikandan @ Sugumaran S' in my Higher Secondary Course Certificate Mark Sheet bearing Certificate S. No. HSG 00193634, issued by the Secretary, Board of Higher Secondary Examination, Tamil Nadu.
- 4. I state that my name has been entered as 'Manikandan Sugumaran S' in my Bachelor of Computer Applications Degree Certificate *vide* No. CK01228, issued by University of Madras, Chennai.
- 5. I state that my name has been entered as 'Manikandan @ Sugumaran. S' in my Master of Human Resource Management Degree Certificate bearing Enrolment No. 2003MR0074, issued by Pondicherry University, Puducherry.
- 6. I state that my name has been mentioned as 'Manikandan *alias* Sugumaran S' in my Renault Car R.C. Book bearing Registration No. PY01BX 3499, issued by the Transport Department, Government of Puducherry.
- 7. I state that my name has been mentioned as 'Manikandan A Sukumaran S' in my Yamaha Two Wheeler R.C. Book bearing Registration No. TN22AC 5330, issued by the Transport Department, Government of Tamil Nadu.

- 8. I state that my name has been mentioned as 'Manikandan @ Sugumaran' in my Marriage Certificate bearing Sl. No.26/2013, issued by the Marriage Registrar, Registration Department, Government of Puducherry.
- 9. I state that my name has been entered as 'Manikandan @ Sugumaran' in my son Ishaant's Birth Certificate bearing Registration No. OM/E/2016/382 and in my daughter Jhanani's Birth Certificate bearing Registration No. OM/E/2012/000770, both certificates issued by Oulgaret Municipality, Puducherry.
- 10. I state that my name has been entered as 'Manikandan @ Sugumaran' in my Bank Passbook, issued by Axis Bank Limited, Bypass Velachery Branch, Chennai, Tamil Nadu.
- 11. I state that my name has been mentioned as 'Manikandan @ Sugumaran' in my Elector's Photo Identity Card No. HKD0295204, issued by Election Commission of India.
- 12. I state that my name has been entered as 'Manikandan *alias* Sugumaran' in my Driving Licence *vide* DL No. PY01 20020005759, issued by the Transport Department, Puducherry.
- 13. I state that my name has been entered as 'S Manikandan *alias* Sugumaran' in my Provident Fund *vide* UAN 100218491146, issued by Employee's Provident Fund Organisation, India.
- 14. I state that my name has been entered as 'Manikandan S' in my PAN Card No. AMYPM1437D, issued by the Income-tax Department, Government of India.
- 15. I state that my name has been mentioned as 'Manikandan S' in my Aadhaar Card No. XXXX XXXX 3771, issued by Unique Identification Authority of India.
- 16. I state that my name has been entered as 'Manikandan' (given name), 'Sambath' (surname) in my Indian Passport No. Z6865791, issued by the Regional Passport Office, Chennai.
- 17. I state that my name has been mentioned as 'மணிகண்டன்-சுகுமார்' in the Family Ration Card No. 060993, issued by the Department of Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs, Puducherry.

Therefore, I do hereby declare that these names, i.e., 'Manikandan alias Sugumaran', 'Manikandan @ Sugumaran S', 'Manikandan Bugumaran S', 'Manikandan @ Sugumaran S', 'Manikandan A Sukumaran S', 'Manikandan @ Sugumaran', 'Manikandan alias Sugumaran', 'S Manikandan alias Sugumaran', 'S Manikandan alias Sugumaran',

'Manikandan S', 'Manikandan (given name), 'Sambath (surname)' and 'மணிகண்டன்-சுகுமார்' are referred, identified and relating one and the same person that is myself only.

Hereafter, I shall be known and identified only by the name of 'Manikandan S' for all purposes.

Solemnly and sincerely affirmed, and signed before the Notary Public at Puducherry, on this 21st day of May 2024.

562786 **M**anikandan. **S**.

AFFIDAVIT

I, S. Irisappan, son of Selvam, residing at No. 12, Nehru Street, Bhavani Nagar, Veerampattinam, Puducherry-605 007, do hereby solemnly and sincerely affirm, and state on oath as follows:

That I am the deponent herein and know the facts of this affidavit.

I state that according to my Birth Certificate bearing Registration No. R/1996/00029, issued by Ariyankuppam Commune Panchayat, Puducherry, my name is mentioned as 'Irisappan'.

I state that in my Secondary School Leaving Certificate Apr-1998, issued by the Secretary, Board of Secondary Education, Tamil Nadu, bearing Register No. 352955, my name is mentioned as 'Irissappan S'.

I state that in my Higher Secondary Course Certificate, issued by the Secretary, Board of Higher Secondary Examination, Tamil Nadu, bearing Register No. 722721, my name is mentioned as 'Irissappan S'.

I state that in my Diploma in Teacher Education, issued by the Secretary, State Board of School Examinations, Tamil Nadu, bearing Register No. 0213376, my name is mentioned as 'Irissappan S'.

I state that in my Provisional Certificate bearing Register No. 091071301, my name is mentioned as 'Irissappan S'.

I state that in my Bachelor of Education bearing Enrolment No. 080840790, my name is mentioned as 'Irissappan S'.

I state that in my National Pension System Card, my name is mentioned as 'Irissappan'.

I state that in my Aadhaar Card bearing No. XXXX XXXX 6177, issued by Unique Identification Authority of India, my name is mentioned as 'S Irissappan'.

I state that in my Electoral Identity Card bearing No. FBN0267286, issued by Election Commission of India, my name is mentioned as 'Irissappan'.

I state that in my PAN Card bearing No. AAOPI7516D, issued by the Income-tax Department, Government of India, my name is mentioned as 'Irissappan S'.

I state that in my India Driving Licence bearing DL No. PY01 20160006287, issued by the Transport Department, Puducherry, my name is mentioned as 'Irissappan S'.

I state that in my Marriage Certificate bearing No. 000053, my name is mentioned as 'Irisappan'.

I state that in my son Pridhishwar's Birth Certificate *vide* Registration No. PM/M/2008/003523, issued by Pondicherry Municipality, Puducherry, my name is mentioned as 'Irisappan'.

I state that in my daughter Thejaswini's Birth Certificate *vide* Registration No. E/2013/07205, issued by Oulgaret Municipality, Puducherry, my name is mentioned as 'Irisappan'.

Further, I state that in my Appointment Offer Letter bearing No. 36699/DSE/Estt.II/C/2004, my name is mentioned as 'Irissappan.S'.

Whereas, in my Family Ration Card vide No. 170586, issued by the Department of Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs, Puducherry, my name is mentioned as '@fletiucit'.

Hence, I do hereby declare that all the abovesaid names *viz.*, 'Irisappan', Irissappan.S', S Irissappan', 'Irissappan', 'Irissappan' are denoting one and the same person, they referring myself only.

Signed before the Notary Public at Puducherry, on this 24th day of May 2024.

562787 S. Irisappan.

AFFIDAVIT

I, M. Dhanaraj, son of deceased Munusami, Indian inhabitant, aged 44 years and residing at the house bearing Door No. 30, Subramaniya Siva Nagar, Villianur, Puducherry-605 110, do hereby solemnly and sincerely affirm, to whomsoever it may concern as follows:

That I am the deponent herein, I swear this affidavit in respect of different spellings in the name of my father, who is deceased. I state that in the Birth Certificate of my father under Registration No.110/1941/N, my said father's name has been mentioned as 'Mouniane'.

In the Welfare of Scheduled Castes Identity Card under No. 36637, my said father's name has been mentioned as 'முனிசாமி'.

In the Pension Payment Order under P.P.O. No. 13982, my said father's name has been mentioned as 'Munissamy. G'.

In the Electoral Identity Card of my said deceased father's under No. JQW0250746 and in my Electoral Identity Card under No. JQW0252387, my father's name has been mentioned as 'Munusamy (முனுசாமி)'.

In my Birth Certificate Registration No. K/1979/00060 and in my Passport No. F3927080, my father's name has been mentioned as 'Mounissamy'.

In my Transfer Certificate under Admission No. 157, my father's name has been mentioned as 'Munisamy'.

In my Aadhaar Card under No. XXXX XXXX 6008, my father's name has been mentioned as 'Munusami'.

In the Death Certificate under Registration No. 2011/200013, my said father's name has been mentioned as 'Munisamy'.

In the Family Ration Card under No. 108392, my father's name has been mentioned as 'முனுசாமி'.

Therefore, I do hereby declare that all the abovesaid names are referred, identified and relating one and the same person, that is my said deceased father only.

I state that what are all stated in the above paragraphs is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, belief and information.

Solemnly and sincerely affirmed, and signed before the Notary Public at Puducherry, on this 27th day of May 2024.

562788 M. DHANARAJ.

No legal responsibility is accepted for the publication of advertisement regarding change of names and other private notifications in the Gazette. Persons notifying the same will remain solely responsible for the legal consequences and also for any other misrepresentations, etc.